1 2

DELEGATE GLEASON: Mr. Chairman, I frankly don't share this confusion.

I do think perhaps there was a word left out or a word put in too many, but as I see Section 4.18, I think what the Committee is referring to is the original organization of the Executive Branch within 20 principal departments or less. That can only be done one way by a regularly enacted law. When you get over to 4.19 the Committee, although the language seems to be just the same, they are referring to the concurrent powers of reorganization and that can be done in one of two ways.

Now I agree with Delegate Case that I think if in 4.18 they had the word "original" or something like that, it would clarify the situation. I think that is all that is required.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Sickles.

DELEGATE SICKLES: I just want to concur in the recommendation of the President.

I am not sure that we are really going very far ahead. But I think the point made by Delegate Gleason is as significant as any. If the interpretation were that the